

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/658,737	Applicant(s) MITCHELL ET AL.	
	Examiner Patricia L. Nordmeyer	Art Unit 1772	

All Participants:

(1) Patricia L. Nordmeyer.

(2) Patrick J. Fleis.

Status of Application: Under Allowance

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 13 June 2006

Time: 4:30 p.m.

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1, 9, 15-22

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner and Mr. Fleis discussed amendments to claims 1, 9 and 22 with regard to the sections cover the channel limitations. Mr. Fleis agreed to amendments that would change the claim language from a method point of view to more of the actual structure of the channel. Claims 15-21 have been cancelled as they are directed towards a non-elected method..